



# Hyper-Representations as Generative Models: Sampling Unseen Neural Network Weights

Konstantin Schürholt<sup>1</sup>, Boris Knyazev<sup>2</sup>, Xavier Giró-i-Nieto<sup>3</sup>, Damian Borth<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> AI:ML Lab, School of Computer Science, University of St. Gallen

<sup>2</sup> Samsung - SAIT AI Lab, Montreal

<sup>3</sup> Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya



# Introduction

Learning from populations of Neural Network models is an emerging topic.

### Discriminative: predict model properties

- Predict: accuracy, generalization gap, hyperparameters
- Features: weights [Unterthiner et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2021], activations [Jiang et al., 2019], graph-metrics [Corneanu et al., 2020]

### Generative: generate new models

- HyperNetworks [Ha et al., 2016; Deutsch, 2018; Zhang et al., 2020; Knyazev et al., 2021; Zhmoginov et al., 2022; Ratzlaff and Fuxin, 2019.]
- Transfer Learning, Knowledge Distillation [Shu et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2019.]



This work: Generative Hyper-Representations

### Goal:

- Better initializations for fine-tuning and transfer-learning
- Knowledge distillation from populations
- Generate diverse ensembles

## Approach

#### **Zoo Generation Details**

- Small CNNs: 3 conv, 2 FC layers
- ~2500 parameters
- 1000 models, trained for 25 epochs
- Initialized with different random seeds

#### Hyper-Representation Details

- Encoder-Decoder Transformer
- Trained with Reconstruction and Contrast

#### Sampling Details

- Properties like accuracy are embedded in latent
- Problem: space is relatively high-dimensional
- We propose 3 methods to sample good models

#### • Use sampled models as initialization:

**Evaluation Details** 

- finetuning in-distribution
- transfer learning
- generating diverse ensembles





$$\mathcal{L}_{M\bar{S}E} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left\| \frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{(l)} - \mu_{l}}{\sigma_{l}} - \frac{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{(l)} - \mu_{l}}{\sigma_{l}} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{(l)} - \mathbf{w}_{i}^{(l)}\|_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{l}^{2}}.$$

# Sampling initializations

### Sampling methods are targeted: distinguish high / low accuracy



Sampled populations are better than (or comparable to) baselines:

- As initialization
- In finetuning (often after 1 ep better than 25 ep trained from scratch)

	Method	Ep.	MNIST	SVHN	CIFAR-10	<b>STL-10</b>	
	$B_T$	0	$\approx 10\%$ (random guessing)				
	$B_{ m KDE30}$	0	$63.2 \pm 7.2$	$10.1 \pm 3.2$	$15.5 \pm 3.4$	$12.7 \pm 3.4$	
	$S_{ m KDE30}$	0	$68.6 \pm 6.7$	51.5 ± 5.9	$26.9 \pm 4.9$	19.7 ± 2.1	
_	$B_T$	1	$20.6 \pm 1.6$	$19.4 \pm 0.6$	$27.5 \pm 2.1$	$15.4 \pm 1.8$	
	$B_{\text{KDE30}}$	1	$83.2 \pm 1.2$	$67.4 \pm 2.0$	$39.7 \pm 0.6$	$26.4 \pm 1.6$	
	$S_{ m KDE30}$	1	83.7 ± 1.3	69.9 ± 1.6	$44.0 \pm 0.5$	$25.9 \pm 1.6$	
_	$B_T$	25	$83.3 \pm 2.6$	66.7 ± 8.5	$46.1 \pm 1.3$	$35.0 \pm 1.3$	
	$B_{\mathrm{KDE30}}$	25	$93.2 \pm 0.6$	$75.4 \pm 0.9$	$48.1 \pm 0.6$	$38.4 \pm 0.9$	
	$S_{\mathrm{KDE30}}$	25	$93.0\pm0.7$	$74.2 \pm 1.4$	$48.6 \pm 0.5$	$38.1 \pm 1.1$	
	$B_T$	50	91.1 ± 2.6	$70.7 \pm 8.8$	48.7 ± 1.4	$39.0 \pm 1.0$	

## **Sampling for New Tasks and Architectures**

### Sampled populations outperform or match baselines for transfer-learning

Method	SVHN to MNIST					
	Ер. 0	Ер. 1	Ер. 50			
$B_T$ $B_F$	$10.0 \pm 0.6$ <b>33.4 ± 5.4</b>	$20.6 \pm 1.6$ $84.4 \pm 7.4$	$91.1 \pm 1.0$ $95.0 \pm 0.8$			
$\frac{-1}{S_{\text{KDE30}}}$	$31.8 \pm 5.6$	86.9 ± 1.4	$95.5 \pm 0.4$			

Sampled weights generalize to changed architectures and outperform random initialization

Initialization	Epoch 1	Epoch 5	Epoch 50
3-conv (r. i.) + res-skip (r. i.)	$18.9 \pm 1.6$	$\overline{31.4 \pm 17}$	$50.6 \pm 28$
3-conv (gen.) + res-skip (r. i.)	<b>34.5 ± 14</b>	<b>60.5 ± 21</b>	<b>68.0 ± 21</b>
4-conv (r. i.)	$\overline{19.2 \pm 1.0}$	$19.2 \pm 0.9$	$55.2 \pm 11$
4-conv (gen.)	<b>44.0 ± 4.5</b>	57.8 ± 3.5	67.6 ± 1.9
4-conv + idskip (r. i.)	18.9 ± 1.0	19.6 ± 1.7	56.4 ± 7.9
4-conv + idskip (gen.)	48.0 ± 4.0	59.9 ± 2.5	66.4 ± 1.7

### Acknowledgements

### Find our work at **hsg.ai/neurips22**

Funding:

- Google Research Scholar Award (Damian Borth)
- HSG Basic Research Fund
- MCIN/ AEI /10.13039/501100011033

### References

- [1] Thomas Unterthiner, Daniel Keysers, Sylvain Gelly, Olivier Bousquet, and Ilya Tolstikhin. Predicting Neural Network Accuracy from Weights. arXiv:2002.11448, February 2020.
- [2] Charles H Martin, Tongsu Serena Peng, and Michael W Mahoney. Predicting trends in the quality of state-of-the-art neural networks without access to training or testing data. Nature Communications, 12(1):1–13, 2021.
- [3] Yiding Jiang, Dilip Krishnan, Hossein Mobahi, Samy Bengio. Predicting the Generalization Gap in Deep Networks with Margin Distributions. ICLR 2019.
- [4] Ciprian Corneanu, Meysam Madadi, Sergio Escalera, Aleix Martinez. Computing the Testing Error Without a Testing Set. CVPR 2020.
- [5] David Ha, Andrew Dai, and Quoc V. Le. HyperNetworks. In arXiv:1609.09106 [Cs], 2016.
- [6] Lior Deutsch. Generating Neural Networks with Neural Networks. arXiv:1801.01952 [cs, stat], April 2018.
- [7] Chris Zhang, Mengye Ren, and Raquel Urtasun. Graph HyperNetworks for Neural Architecture Search. arXiv:1810.05749 [cs, stat], December 2020.
- [8] Boris Knyazev, Michal Drozdzal, Graham W. Taylor, and Adriana Romero-Soriano. Parameter Prediction for Unseen Deep Architectures. In Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2021.
- [9] Andrey Zhmoginov, Mark Sandler, and Max Vladymyrov. HyperTransformer: Model Generation for Supervised and Semi-Supervised Few-Shot Learning. arXiv:2201.04182 [cs], January 2022.
- [10] Neale Ratzlaff and Li Fuxin. HyperGAN: A Generative Model for Diverse, Performant Neural Networks. In Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 5361–5369. PMLR, May 2019.
- [11] Yang Shu, Zhi Kou, Zhangjie Cao, Jianmin Wang, and Mingsheng Long. Zoo-tuning: Adaptive transfer from a zoo of models. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 457 9626–9637. PMLR, 2021.
- [12] Iou-Jen Liu, Jian Peng, and Alexander G. Schwing. Knowledge Flow: Improve Upon Your Teachers. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), April 2019.
- [13] Konstantin Schürholt, Dimche Kostadinov, and Damian Borth. Self-Supervised Representation Learning on Neural Network Weights for Model Characteristic Prediction. In Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), volume 35, 2021.