PAC Prediction Sets for Meta-Learning

Sangdon Park, Edgar Dobriban, Insup Lee, and Osbert Bastani

NeurIPS 2022



meta learning

task dataset $p_{ heta_1}$	task dataset $p_{ heta_2}$















No guarantee on quantified uncertainty by the meta-learned and adapted model.

A **PAC** prediction set (Wilks, 1941; Vovk, 2013; Park et al., 2020) is a prediction set that comes with the probably approximately correct (PAC) guarantee (Valiant, 1984).

A **PAC** prediction set (Wilks, 1941; Vovk, 2013; Park et al., 2020) is a prediction set that comes with the probably approximately correct (PAC) guarantee (Valiant, 1984).

A prediction set is a set-valued predictor

$$F_{\tau}(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid f(x, y) \ge \tau \right\},\$$

where a conformity score function $f : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and a parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ are given.

A **PAC** prediction set (Wilks, 1941; Vovk, 2013; Park et al., 2020) is a prediction set that comes with the probably approximately correct (PAC) guarantee (Valiant, 1984).

A parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is ε -correct if

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta}\Big\{Y \in F_{\tau}(X)\Big\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where the probability is taken over $(X, Y) \sim p_{\theta}$.

We denote a set of all ε -correct taus by $T_{\varepsilon}(\theta)$.

A PAC prediction set (Wilks, 1941; Vovk, 2013; Park et al., 2020) is a prediction set that comes with the probably approximately correct (PAC) guarantee (Valiant, 1984).

An estimator $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta} : (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^* \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is (ε, δ) -probably approximately correct (PAC) if

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\boldsymbol{S})\in T_{\varepsilon}(\theta)\Big\}\geq 1-\delta,$$

where the probability is taken over a calibration set $S \in (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^*$.













Three sources of randomness in the (1) meta calibration, (2) adaptation, and (3) evaluation.

We control the three sources of randomness via three parameters δ , α , and ε .

We control the three sources of randomness via three parameters δ , α , and ε .

An estimator $\hat{\tau} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is $(\varepsilon, \alpha, \delta)$ -meta PAC (mPAC) if

 $\hat{\tau}(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{A}) \in T_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{A})$

where the first probability is taken over (S, A) and the second probability is taken over (θ, A) .

We control the three sources of randomness via three parameters δ , α , and ε .

An estimator $\hat{\tau} : S \times A \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is $(\varepsilon, \alpha, \delta)$ -meta PAC (mPAC) if

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\hat{\tau}(oldsymbol{S},oldsymbol{A})\in T_{arepsilon}(heta,A)\Big\}\geq 1-lpha$$

where the first probability is taken over (S, A) and the second probability is taken over (θ, A) .

We control the three sources of randomness via three parameters δ , α , and ε .

An estimator $\hat{\tau} : S \times A \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is $(\varepsilon, \alpha, \delta)$ -meta PAC (mPAC) if

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbb{P}\left\{\hat{\tau}(oldsymbol{S},oldsymbol{A})\in T_{arepsilon}(heta,oldsymbol{A})
ight\}\geq 1-lpha
ight\}\geq 1-\delta,$$

where the first probability is taken over (S, A) and the second probability is taken over (θ, A) .

The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS(S, $\mathbf{A}, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta$)



The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS(S, $\mathbf{A}, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta$)



The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS(S, A, ε , α , δ)



The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta)$



The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

 $\mathsf{Meta}\text{-}\mathsf{PS}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{A},\varepsilon,\alpha,\delta)$



The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS(S, $\mathbf{A}, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta$)

The proposed algorithm **Meta-PS** internally uses any PAC prediction set algorithm $\hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (*e.g.*, Park et al. (2020)).

Meta-PS($\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta$)

Theorem (Meta PAC Guarantee)

The estimator $\hat{\tau}_{\varepsilon,\alpha,\delta}$ implemented by Meta-PS is $(\varepsilon,\alpha,\delta)$ -mPAC.

Results: Mini-ImageNet

We empirically demonstrated the correctness guarantee of our algorithm Meta-PS.



Figure: $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for Meta-PS and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for the other methods.

Results: Mini-ImageNet

We empirically demonstrated the correctness guarantee of our algorithm Meta-PS.



Figure: $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for Meta-PS and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for the other methods.

Results: Mini-ImageNet

We empirically demonstrated the correctness guarantee of our algorithm Meta-PS.



Figure: $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for Meta-PS and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\delta = 10^{-5}$ for the other methods.

Conclusion

We proposed a PAC prediction set algorithm for meta learning.

- Controls three sources of uncertainty for our correctness guarantee.
- Evaluated over three application domains: image, language, and medical datasets.
- Code is available: https://github.com/sangdon/meta-pac-ps

References

- Fisch, A., Schuster, T., Jaakkola, T., and Barzilay, R. (2021). Few-shot conformal prediction with auxiliary tasks.
- Park, S., Bastani, O., Matni, N., and Lee, I. (2020). Pac confidence sets for deep neural networks via calibrated prediction. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Valiant, L. G. (1984). A theory of the learnable. Communications of the ACM, 27(11):1134-1142.
- Vovk, V. (2013). Conditional validity of inductive conformal predictors. Machine learning, 92(2-3):349-376.
- Wilks, S. S. (1941). Determination of sample sizes for setting tolerance limits. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 12(1):91–96.